United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/041,669	01/10/2002	Satoshi Fujioka	Q68022	2951	
75	90 09/22/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE M	ION, PLLC	TRAN, HUAN HUU			
2100 Pennsylva Washington, D	nia Avenue, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
washington, 2			2861	<u>-</u>	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

an	ر
 <u> </u>	

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s) FUJIOKA, SATOSHI		
10/041,669			
Examiner	Art Unit		
Huan H. Tran	2861		

THE REPLY FILED on 07/22/04 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) The period for reply expires 2_months from the maling date of the final rejection.	Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
b) The period for cetyl repires on (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichefer it is no event, however, with the statutory period for reply expire lated than SIX MONTHS from the maling date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MFEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petion under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee to have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Clifice action, or (2) as set forth in (5) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office toler than divise months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(a). 1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2 The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) help a filed with place the proposed amendment (s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceli	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
1	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below): (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) dilowed: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2, 4-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Huan H. Tran Primary Examiner	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2, 4-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Huan H. Tran Primary Examiner	(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
issues for appeal; and/or (d)	(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
NOTE: 3.	
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) ∑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2, 4-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Huan H. Tran Primary Examiner 	
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2, 4-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Huan H. Tran Primary Examiner	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2, 4-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Huan H. Tran Primary Examiner	
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2, 4-27 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Huan H. Tran Primary Examiner	
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2, 4-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Other: See Continuation Sheet Huan H. Tran Primary Examiner	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2, 4-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: See Continuation Sheet	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2, 4-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Other: See Continuation Sheet Huan H. Tran Primary Examiner	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 2, 4-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Other: See Continuation Sheet Huan H. Tran Primary Examiner	Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: See Continuation Sheet Huan H. Tran Primary Examiner	Claim(s) objected to:
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet Huan H. Tran Primary Examiner	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 2, 4-27</u> .
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet Huan H. Tran Primary Examiner	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
10. Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> Huan H. Tran Primary Examiner	8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	10. Other: See Continuation Sheet Huan H. Tran

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Continuation of 10. Other: The substitute specification filed on 07/22/04 has not been entered because it does not conform to 37 CFR 1.125(b) and (c) because: the statement as to a lack of new matter under 37 CFR 1.125(b) is missing.2. Applicant may voluntarily resubmit the substitute specification, excluding the claims, accompanied by a statement that the substitute specification includes no new matter.3. As the result of the unacceptable substitute specification, the amendment after final rejection filed on 07/22/04 has not been entered in toto. The practice under 37 CFR 1.135(c) of giving applicant a time period to supply an omission in a bona fide reply does not apply after a final Office action. The period for reply under 37 CFR 1.113 continues to run until a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (i.e., a notice of appeal or an amendment that places the application in condition for allowance) is filed. applicant may obtain additional timeunder 37 CFR 1.136(a) to file another or supplemental amendment in order to supply the omission. MPEP 714.03..